Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,414	KAWAGOE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	REBECCA ANDERSON	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: pending	
(1) <u>REBECCA ANDERSON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jennifer M. Hayes</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 July 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 28		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner left a message for Jennifer M. Hayes on 25 July 2011 to attempt to allow the instant application with an examiner's amendment to calims 1 and 28 in the definition of R2 to correct an apparent typographical error which renders the claims indefinite. Ms. Hayes attempted to contact the examiner twice on 25 July 2011 and also left messages trying to resolve the issue. As the examiner and Ms. Hayes were unable to discuss the issue and Ms. Hayes is unavailable until August 3, the examiner had to write a non-final rejection.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Rebecca I. Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)